		·
1	Arthur A. Hartinger (SBN: 121521)	
2	ahartinger@meyersnave.com Linda M. Ross (SBN: 133874)	
3	lross@meyersnave.com Jennifer L. Nock (SBN: 160663)	
4	jnock@meyersnave.com MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILS	ON
5	555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, California 94607	,
6	Telephone: (510) 808-2000 Facsimile: (510) 444-1108	
7	Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES GOV'T CODE § 6103
. 8	City of San Jose and Debra Figone	3
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
	YOUR JANUARY TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL	112CV 225926
11	JOHN MUKHAR, DALE DAPP, JAMES ATKINS, WILLIAM BUFFINGTON and	Case No. 112CV226574 117CV226570
12	KIRK PENNINGTON,	DEFENDANT CITY OF SAN JOSE'S
13	Plaintiffs and Petitioners,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO STAY CONSOLIDATE AND STAY
14	v.	Hearing:
15	CITY OF SAN JOSE, DEBRA FIGONE, in her official capacity as City Manager of the	Date: August 23, 2012
16	CITY OF SAN JOSE, and DOES 1 through 15,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: 2
17	Defendants and Respondents.	Judge: Hon. Patricia Lucas
18	Defondants and respondents.	Complaint Filed: June 6, 2012
19	THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION FOR THE 1975 FEDERATED CITY	Trial Date: None Set
20	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN,	
21	Necessary Party in Interest.	
22		l' ·
23		
24		
25		•
26		
27		

28

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on August 23, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 2 before the Honorable Judge Patricia Lucas, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Santa Clara County Superior Court at its Downtown Superior Court, 191 North First Street, San Jose, California, 95113, Defendant City of San Jose will move, and hereby does move, that the five state-court actions listed below be consolidated for all purposes under the case number of San Jose Police Officers' Ass'n v. City of San Jose, et al., Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV225926. This motion is made pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1048 and California Rule of Court, rule 3.350.

San Jose Police Officers' Ass'n v. v. City of San Jose, et al.; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV225926.

Robert Sapien, et al. v. City of San Jose, et al.; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV225928.

Teresa Harris, et al. v. City of San Jose, et al.; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV226570.

John Mukhar, et al. v. City of San Jose, et al.; and Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV226574.

AFSCME Local 101 v. City of San Jose, et al.; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV227864.

Defendant the City of San Jose will move, and hereby does move, for the Court to exercise its inherent discretionary authority to stay the five state-court actions listed above so that the parties may litigate the City's Federal Action currently pending in the San Jose Division of U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, entitled: City of San Jose v. San Jose Police Officers' Ass'n, et al., Northern District Case No. 5:12-cv-02904-LHK.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.350, each of the five actions listed above involves the following parties and counsel listed below:

25 // 26 //

1

2

3

4

5

-6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.7

28

		•
1	San Jose Police Officers' Ass'n v. v. City of San Jose, et al.; Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 112CV225926.	
2	Parties	Counsel
3		
4	Plaintiff San Jose Police Officers Association	Gregg M. Adam Jonathan Yank Gonzalo Martinez
5		Jennifer Stoughton CARROLL, BURDICK &
7	·	MCDONOUGH 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104
8	Defendant	
9	City of San Jose	Arthur A. Hartinger MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER &
10		WILSON 555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, Califomia 94607
11		Oakiand, Camonia 94007
12	Defendant Board of Administrators for Police	Harvey L. Leiderman
13	and Fire Department Retirement Plan of City of San Jose <sup>1</sup>	Reed Smith, LLP 101 Second Street
14		Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105
15		
16	Robert Sapien, et al. v. City of San Jose Santa Clara County Superior Court Case	, et al.;
17		
18	<u>Parties</u>	Counsel
	Plaintiffs/Petitioners	John McBride
19	Robert Sapien Mary McCarthy	Christopher E. Platten Mark S. Renner
20	Thanh Ho	WYLIE, MCBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER
21	Randy Sekany Ken Heredia	2125 Canoas Garden Avenue, Suite 120 San Jose, CA 95125
22		•
23		
24		
25		constituent boards of the City of San Jose. As of
26	today, the City does not believe that the retirement	ent boards have separately filed an answer or one with the exception of <i>POA v. City San Jose, et</i>
27	al., Case No. 112CV225926. Mr. Liederman at	
		The second of th

28

1	Defendants/Respondents	
	City of San Jose	Arthur A. Hartinger
2	San Jose City Manager Debra Figone <sup>2</sup>	MEYERS, NAVĚ, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON
3	•	555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, California 94607
. 4		Oakland, Camonna 94007
5	Necessary Party in Interest	
6	Board of Administrators for Police and Fire Department Retirement Plan	Harvey L. Leiderman REED SMITH LLP
	of City of San Jose	101 Second Street
7		Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105
8		
9	Teresa Harris, et al. v. City of San Jose,	at al.
10	Santa Clara County Superior Court Case	No. 112CV226570.
11	<u>Parties</u>	<u>Counsel</u>
12	Plaintiffs/Petitioners	John McBride
13	Teresa Harris	Christopher E. Platten Mark S. Renner
	Jon Reger Moses Serrano	WYLIE, MCBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER
14		2125 Canoas Garden Avenue, Suite 120 San Jose, CA 95125
15	Defendants/Respondents	
16	City of San Jose	Arthur A. Hartinger MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER &
17	San Jose City Manager Debra Figone	WILSON
18	·	555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, California 94607
19	Necessary Party in Interest	
20	Board of Administrators for Police	Harvey L. Leiderman
	and Fire Department Retirement Plan of City of San Jose	REED SMITH LLP 101 Second Street
21		Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105
22		
23		
24		
25	<sup>2</sup> City Manager Figone is not a defendant in San	Jose POA v. City of San Jose, et al., Case No.
26	112CV225926, the action in which defendant C	ity of San Jose brings this motion to consolidate
	and stay. The same counsel represent both the (actions identified herein. City Manager Figone	joins the City Manager in the five state-court
27	in which she is a party.	,
28		

	11 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	John Mukhar, et al. v. City of San Jose Santa Clara County Superior Court Case	
2	Parties	Counsel
3	· ·	
4	Plaintiffs/Petitioners John Mukhar	John McBride Christoph
5	Dale Dapp James Atkins	Mark S. Renner WYLIE, MCBRIDE, PLATTEN & RENNER
6	William Buffington Kirk Pennington	2125 Canoas Garden Avenue, Suite 120 San Jose, CA 95125
7	Defendants/Respondents	
8	City of San Jose San Jose City Manager	Arthur A. Hartinger MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER &
9	Debra Figone	WILSON 555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500
10		Oakland, California 94607
11	Necessary Party in Interest Board of Administrators for Police	Harvey L. Leiderman
12	and Fire Department Retirement Plan of City of San Jose	Reed Smith, LLP 101 Second Street
13		Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105
14		
15	AFSCME Local 101 v. City of San Jose Santa Clara County Superior Court Case	
16		•
17	<u>Parties</u>	Counsel
18	Plaintiff/Petitioner American Federation of State, County	Teague P. Paterson Vishtasp M. Soroushian
19	and Municipal Employees Local 101	BEESON, TAYER AND BODINE, APC. Ross House, 2nd Floor
20		483 Ninth Street Oakland, CA 94607
21	Defendants/Respondents	Cantaild, CA 94007
22	City of San Jose San Jose City Manager	Arthur A. Hartinger MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER &
23	Debra Figone	WILSON 555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500
24		Oakland, California 94607
25	Necessary Party in Interest Board of Administrators for Police	Harvey L. Leiderman
26	and Fire Department Retirement Plan of City of San Jose	Reed Smith, LLP 101 Second Street
27		Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105
28		

1	Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.350(a)(2), the City's supporting		
2	memorandum, declaration, and proposed order will be filed only in Dept. 2 in case San Jose		
3	Police Officers' Ass'n v. City of San Jose, et al., Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No.		
4	112CV225926. All documents will be served on all parties.		
5			
6	DATED: August 1, 2012 MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON		
7			
8	MANAA,		
9	By: Arthur A. Hartinger		
10	Linda M. Ross Jennifer L. Nock		
11	Michael C. Hughes Attorneys for Defendant		
12	City of San Jose		
13	1943940.1		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case No. 112CV226574

Defendant's Notice of Motion and Motion to Consolidate and Stay